USING MIND MAPPING TO IMPROVE ARGUMENTATIVE ESSAY WRITING SKILLS OF SECOND-SEMESTER STUDENTS

Nayeli Trianda[1], Depi Handayani[2]
nayelipga@gmail.com[1], depihandayanimarsid@gmail.com[2]
STKIP Muhammadiyah Pagar Alam

ABSTRACT

This study employs a library research approach to examine the effectiveness of Mind Mapping as a pre-writing strategy in improving the argumentative essay writing skills of second-semester students. Early-stage university students often experience difficulties in organizing ideas, developing coherent argumentative structures, and constructing logical, evidence-based arguments. These challenges are closely related to the high cognitive demands involved in academic writing, particularly in argumentative genres that require critical and analytical thinking as well as the ability to synthesize multiple sources. Based on a comprehensive review of relevant literature, this study reveals that Mind Mapping functions as an effective visual tool that reduces cognitive load, clarifies relationships among ideas, and supports the development of critical thinking patterns. Furthermore, the integration of digital technology and text-based artificial intelligence enhances the effectiveness of Mind Mapping by providing flexibility, collaboration opportunities, and immediate feedback during the writing process. Previous studies consistently indicate that Mind Mapping not only strengthens students' cognitive and rhetorical abilities but also positively influences their motivation, creativity, and confidence in producing academic writing. Overall, this article concludes that Mind Mapping is a relevant and effective pedagogical strategy to be integrated into academic writing instruction in higher education, particularly for early-semester students who require structural support in developing argumentative essays.

Keywords: *Mind Mapping; Argumentative Essay; Writing Skills; Cognitive Load; Library Research; Critical Thinking.*

INTRODUCTION

Academic writing skills are among the fundamental competencies that must be mastered by university students, particularly from the early semesters. Among various forms of academic writing, the argumentative essay occupies a crucial position because it requires students to present ideas logically, systematically, and supported by empirical evidence and credible theoretical sources. Argumentative writing not only reflects students' ability to express ideas but also demonstrates higher-order thinking skills, such as analysis, evaluation, and synthesis of information from multiple sources. Therefore, the quality of argumentative writing is often used as an indicator of students' critical thinking abilities in academic contexts.

However, second-semester students frequently encounter significant challenges in developing well-structured argumentative essays. These difficulties are mainly caused by the transition from high school writing practices to the more complex demands of academic writing at the university level. Students are expected to understand argumentative structures, integrate theoretical perspectives, cite academic sources appropriately, and maintain coherence across paragraphs. Writing is also recognized as a cognitively demanding activity that involves several processes simultaneously, including planning, organizing ideas, selecting appropriate vocabulary, constructing sentences, and revising drafts. Kellogg and Raulerson III (2007) argue that writing places a heavy burden on working memory because writers must coordinate multiple mental processes at the same time. As a result, many early-semester students experience cognitive overload, which negatively affects the quality of their writing.

One of the most common problems faced by students is the lack of effective planning before writing. Many students begin writing without engaging in pre-writing activities such as brainstorming or outlining, which often leads to unorganized, repetitive, or unfocused essays. This condition highlights the importance of instructional strategies that can support students in organizing ideas systematically before drafting. Mind Mapping is widely recognized as an effective pre-writing technique that helps learners generate ideas, identify relationships among concepts, and plan essay structures more clearly.

As a visual learning strategy, Mind Mapping combines creativity and analytical thinking through the use of branches, colors, images, and keywords that facilitate comprehension and memory retention. Buran and Fillyukov (2015) explain that Mind Mapping utilizes the brain's visual–spatial processing abilities to organize non-linear information effectively. In academic writing, particularly in argumentative essays, this technique assists students in visualizing logical relationships between claims, supporting arguments, and evidence. Consequently, students are better able to construct coherent and well-organized argumentative texts.

In addition to cognitive challenges, students often face affective barriers such as writing anxiety, low motivation, and lack of confidence. Jones et al. (2012) found that Mind Mapping activities positively influence students' motivation by providing opportunities for creativity, autonomy, and collaboration. Writing tasks that are initially perceived as difficult become more engaging when students feel a sense of control over their ideas. Furthermore, Mind Mapping supports collaborative learning, allowing students to discuss and refine ideas collectively before beginning the writing process.

The rapid development of digital technology has further expanded the potential of Mind Mapping in writing instruction. Various digital tools and platforms, such as Canva, MindMeister, and Miro, enable students to create mind maps in a more flexible, interactive, and collaborative manner. Recent studies indicate that digital Mind Mapping is often more effective than manual techniques because it allows easy modification and revision of ideas throughout the writing process. This technological integration aligns with the growing emphasis on digital literacy in contemporary education.

Based on these considerations, this article aims to analyze the role of Mind Mapping in improving the argumentative essay writing skills of second-semester students through a library research approach. This method allows for a comprehensive examination of relevant theories and previous studies, enabling a critical synthesis of research findings related to the effectiveness of Mind Mapping as a pre-writing strategy in academic writing instruction.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The theoretical framework in this library-based study serves as a conceptual foundation to explain how Mind Mapping functions as an effective pre-writing strategy in academic writing, particularly in argumentative essays. This section discusses four interrelated theoretical components: (1) the basic concept of Mind Mapping, (2) the rhetorical structure of argumentative essays, (3) cognitive load theory in writing, and (4) critical thinking as the foundation of argumentative writing. Together, these theories provide a comprehensive explanation of why Mind Mapping can enhance students' argumentative writing skills.

The Basic Concept of Mind Mapping

Mind Mapping is a visual thinking technique introduced by Tony Buzan in the 1970s to reflect the brain's natural way of processing information. According to Buzan, the human brain operates associatively rather than linearly, organizing ideas through

interconnected branches. Mind Mapping visualizes this process by placing a central idea at the center of a diagram and developing related ideas through branches and sub-branches.

Buran and Fillyukov (2015) state that Mind Mapping is an effective cognitive tool because it integrates keywords, symbols, colors, and images to support information organization and memory retention. This technique engages both analytical and creative thinking processes, making it suitable for complex learning tasks. In educational contexts, Mind Mapping has been shown to improve conceptual understanding, long-term memory, and critical thinking skills.

In writing instruction, Mind Mapping is commonly used as a pre-writing strategy to help students generate, organize, and connect ideas before drafting. For argumentative essays, this visual structure enables students to identify relationships among thesis statements, supporting arguments, and evidence, providing a clear overview of the essay structure prior to writing.

Argumentative Essay Structure

An argumentative essay is an academic genre intended to persuade readers of the validity of a particular position through logical reasoning and evidence-based arguments. Hyland (1990) describes the rhetorical structure of argumentative essays as consisting of three main stages: the thesis stage, the argument stage, and the conclusion stage.

The thesis stage introduces a clear and defensible position that guides the entire essay. Many early-semester students struggle to formulate a strong thesis due to insufficient analysis at the pre-writing stage. Mind Mapping assists students in narrowing topics, identifying viewpoints, and clarifying the focus of their arguments.

The argument stage forms the core of the essay and includes claims, supporting evidence, explanations, and, when necessary, counterarguments. Hyland emphasizes that effective argumentative writing depends on the logical connection between claims and evidence. Through Mind Mapping, these relationships can be visually represented, enabling students to ensure that each claim is adequately supported and logically developed.

The conclusion stage restates the main argument and synthesizes key points without introducing new information. Mind Mapping helps students identify essential ideas that need to be reinforced in the conclusion, ensuring coherence and unity across the essay.

Cognitive Load in the Writing Process

Writing, particularly argumentative writing, is a cognitively demanding activity that requires writers to manage multiple processes simultaneously, including idea generation, organization, language selection, and revision. Cognitive load theory suggests that working memory has limited capacity, and excessive demands may result in cognitive overload. Kellogg and Raulerson III (2007) note that novice writers are especially vulnerable to high cognitive load because many basic writing skills have not yet become automatic.

Mind Mapping helps reduce cognitive load by transferring part of the mental processing from working memory to a visual format. When ideas and structures are represented visually, students do not need to retain all information mentally during drafting. This allows them to focus more on language production and argument development, thereby improving writing quality.

Critical Thinking as the Foundation of Argumentative Writing

Critical thinking is an essential component of argumentative writing. Marni et al. (2019) identify four key elements of critical thinking in academic writing: analysis, interpretation, inference, and evaluation. Mind Mapping supports these elements by enabling students to break down complex issues into manageable components, connect evidence with claims, draw logical conclusions, and assess the strength of arguments.

By visualizing ideas and relationships, students can more easily identify gaps in reasoning, weak evidence, or irrelevant information. As a result, Mind Mapping functions not only as a planning tool but also as a strategy for enhancing critical thinking skills in argumentative writing.

DISCUSSION

This discussion integrates the theoretical perspectives presented earlier to explain how Mind Mapping contributes to the improvement of argumentative essay writing skills among second-semester students. The discussion is organized into four main perspectives: cognitive aspects, argumentative structure, critical thinking development, and learning motivation, including the role of digital technology.

Cognitive Benefits of Mind Mapping in Writing

From a cognitive perspective, Mind Mapping effectively reduces the working memory burden associated with academic writing. Second-semester students often experience difficulties because they must simultaneously generate ideas, organize arguments, select appropriate vocabulary, and attend to grammatical accuracy. Without adequate planning tools, these demands can overload working memory and hinder writing performance.

Mind Mapping externalizes ideas into a visual format, allowing students to manage complex information more efficiently. This supports Kellogg and Raulerson III's (2007) findings that pre-writing strategies significantly enhance writing quality by reducing cognitive load. By organizing ideas visually, students can focus their attention on composing coherent sentences and developing logical arguments during drafting.

Mind Mapping and Argumentative Structure

One of the most significant contributions of Mind Mapping lies in its ability to support the development of coherent argumentative structures. Students often struggle not because they lack ideas, but because they cannot organize those ideas into a logical argumentative framework. Mind Mapping provides a clear visual representation of the relationship between thesis statements, claims, evidence, and explanations.

By placing the thesis at the center and developing branches for supporting arguments, students can systematically construct their essays. This visual organization helps prevent common problems such as unsupported claims, irrelevant evidence, and repetitive ideas. As noted by Hyland (1990), strong argumentative writing depends on clear rhetorical organization, which can be facilitated through visual planning techniques such as Mind Mapping.

Development of Critical Thinking Skills

Mind Mapping also plays a crucial role in fostering critical thinking skills required for argumentative writing. The processes of analysis, interpretation, inference, and evaluation are more manageable when students can visualize their reasoning. Breaking down complex topics into branches supports analytical thinking, while connecting evidence to claims strengthens interpretation.

Furthermore, the visual layout of Mind Mapping allows students to trace logical connections and draw more coherent conclusions. Evaluation is enhanced as students can easily compare evidence and assess the strength of arguments. These processes contribute to higher-quality argumentative essays that reflect deeper critical engagement with the topic.

Motivation and Digital Integration

Beyond cognitive and structural benefits, Mind Mapping positively influences students' motivation and engagement in writing tasks. Writing is often perceived as challenging and stressful, particularly for early-semester students. Jones et al. (2012) found

that Mind Mapping increases motivation by encouraging creativity, autonomy, and collaboration.

The integration of digital tools further enhances these benefits. Digital Mind Mapping applications such as Canva, MindMeister, and Miro allow students to create, revise, and share mind maps easily. Al-Jarf (2021) reports that digital Mind Mapping is more flexible and interactive than manual techniques, leading to improved learning outcomes. When combined with digital feedback tools, such as grammar checkers or AI-assisted writing support, Mind Mapping becomes part of a modern learning ecosystem that promotes continuous improvement in writing skills.

KESIMPULAN

This library-based study aimed to analyze the effectiveness of Mind Mapping as a pre-writing strategy in improving the argumentative essay writing skills of second-semester students. Based on the synthesis of relevant theories and previous research findings, it can be concluded that Mind Mapping plays a significant role in supporting academic writing development through three interrelated aspects: cognitive, structural-rhetorical, and motivational.

From a cognitive perspective, Mind Mapping effectively reduces students' working memory load during the writing process. Argumentative writing requires students to manage multiple cognitive demands simultaneously, including idea generation, organization, language selection, and grammatical accuracy. By visualizing ideas and relationships among arguments, Mind Mapping transfers part of this cognitive burden into an external visual format, allowing students to focus more on language production and logical argument development.

In terms of rhetorical and structural aspects, Mind Mapping provides a clear framework that helps students understand the hierarchical organization of argumentative essays. Through visual planning, students can systematically connect thesis statements, claims, supporting evidence, and conclusions. This reduces common problems such as unsupported claims, irrelevant evidence, and lack of coherence, which are frequently found in early-semester students' writing.

Mind Mapping also contributes positively to students' motivation and engagement in writing activities. The use of visual elements, creativity, and collaborative work encourages students to take greater ownership of their writing process. Furthermore, the integration of digital Mind Mapping tools enhances flexibility, interaction, and opportunities for revision, aligning writing instruction with the demands of digital literacy in higher education.

Overall, Mind Mapping can be considered an effective and relevant pedagogical strategy for academic writing instruction, particularly for early-semester university students who require structured support in developing argumentative essays. Lecturers are encouraged to integrate Mind Mapping into the pre-writing stage and combine it with digital tools to maximize its instructional benefits. Future research may explore the implementation of Mind Mapping in different learning contexts, such as project-based learning, online writing courses, or integration with AI-assisted writing feedback, to provide deeper insights into its long-term effectiveness.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

Aitkulova, K., & Tursynbekova, U. (2021). Organization of the essay and its structure. International Journal of Humanities and Natural Sciences, 9(2), 60–66.

Al-Jarf, R. (2021). The impact of digital mind mapping tools on EFL students' writing skills. Arab

World English Journal, 12(4), 352–367.
Buran, A., & Fillyukov, A. (2015). Mind mapping technique in language learning. Procedia – Social and Behavioral Sciences, 206, 215–218.
Febriyanti, R. H., & Sundari, H. (2020). The application of online platforms in English language teaching. Rangkiang: Community Service Journal, 2(1), 17–27.
Hyland, K. (1990). A genre description of the argumentative essay. RELC Journal, 21(1), 66–78.
Jones, B. D., Ruff, C., Snyder, J. D., Petrich, B., & Koonce, C. (2012). The effects of mind mapping activities on students' motivation. International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning, 6(1), Article 5.
Kellogg, R. T., & Raulerson III, B. A. (2007). Improving the writing skills of college students. Psychonomic Bulletin & Review, 14(2), 237–242.
Kustian, N. G. (2021). The use of the mind mapping method in improving student learning outcomes. Academia: Journal of Academic Research Innovation, 1(1).
Lawrence, J. F. (2022). Cognitive load in academic writing: A review of theoretical perspectives. Journal of Writing Research, 14(2), 245–268.
Marni, S., Suyono, Roekhan, & Harsiati, T. (2019). Critical thinking patterns of first-year students in argumentative essays. Journal for the Education of Gifted Young Scientists, 7(3), 683–697.
Podolkova, S., & Medvid, O. (2019). The essay as a form of academic writing. Edukacyjna Analiza Transakcyjna, 8, 215–225.
Syahira, K., Kartini, S., & Sulistyahadi, S. P. (2023). Students' perceptions of the use of AI in English language teaching. Educational Perspective Journal, 17(2).
Tangpermpoon, T. (n.d.). Integrated approach to improve students' writing skills. Seminar paper.
Yundayani, A., Susilawati, S., & Chairunnisa, C. (2019). Investigating the effect of Canva on students' writing skills. English Review: Journal of English Education, 7(2), 169–176.