EXAMINING THE ROLE OF INNOVATION, TRUST, CONVENIENCE, AND PERCEIVED RISK ON MOBILE PAYMENT ADOPTION IN GREATER JAKARTA

Valensius Calvin Anwar¹, Pandeka Ramadhan², Zakky Ramadhan³, Luthfi Yudhistira⁴, Muhamad Aliyudin⁵

15122220024@student.prasetiyamulya.ac.id¹, 15122220008@student.prasetiyamulya.ac.id², 15122220026@student.prasetiyamulya.ac.id³, 15122220020@student.prasetiyamulya.ac.id⁴, 15122220001@student.prasetiyamulya.ac.id⁵ Universitas Prasetiya Mulya

ABSTRACT

Purpose - To investigate consumer intention to use in mobile payment through attitude with the role of innovation, perceived risk and trust. **Design/Methodology/Approach** - Used an online survey with 250 responses from millennials in Greater Jakarta. **Findings** - The study shows several factors affecting consumers' attitude toward mobile payment. Specifically when perceived risk and trust as an addition besides relative advantage, compatibility, complexity, trialability, observability, convenience, perceived security. This investigation contributes to millennials in Greater Jakarta. It offers specific insight for millennials on adoption of mobile payment. **Research limitations/implications** - Focus of this study only has respondents aged 27 - 42 and living in Greater Jakarta. **Originality/value** - This study highlights the addition of trust and perceived risk on how it reacts to the intention to use mobile payment adoption among millennials in Greater Jakarta.

Keywords: Consumer attitude, Adoption, Mobile payment, Diffusion of Innovation, Jakarta, Millennial.

INTRODUCTION

The emergence of mobile technologies has unavoidably been aided by the information and communication technology sector's significant growth in recent years (Hwang et al., 2007; Schierz et al., 2010; Thalia et al., 2011). The way individuals pay for the goods and services they use has changed as a result of the widespread use of mobile devices, constant internet connectivity, the development of electronic and mobile commerce and the availability of a wide range of mobile payment options. Instead of utilizing cash, cheques, credit/debit cards, or other payment methods, consumers can just utilize their smartphone to make purchases (Boden et al., 2020). Specifically, in the commercial sector, mobile commerce has had a profound impact on our daily activities, enabling people with convenient ways to pay for goods and services cashless using their mobile devices on the go, without having to use physical cash (Kim et al., 2010; Tee and Ong, 2016). One of the most rapidly expanding areas of mobile commerce is mobile payment (Chen, 2007), which is defined as conducting, approving, and verifying financial transactions via mobile devices in order to acquire goods and services (Au and Kauffman, 2008). Mobile payments – also referred to as mobile money, mobile payment or mobile wallets - are "payments for goods, services and bills with a mobile device by taking advantage of wireless and other communication technologies" (Dahlberg et al., 2008, p. 1; also referred to in updated Dahlberg et al., 2015). The emergence of the Internet has had a huge impact on "traditional forms of retail" (Caboni and Hagberg, 2019, p. 1125, see also Hagberget al., 2017) and has largely changed the way consumers purchase products or services (Yadav and Pavlou, 2014).

With over 250 million people and an estimated 5 million of them rising to middleclass status each year, Indonesia is the fourth most populous nation in the world and is regarded as one of the most promising new markets for the mobile payment sector (KPMG Siddharta Advisory, 2017). In 2018, it was reported 47% of people who using mobile phone are using smartphones, which supported mobile payment. However, while 61% of the internet users in the country had already used mobile banking, only 35% of them had made mobile payments (Kemp, 2019), which signified a considerable room for expansion. In terms of the major mobile payment players, there were around 41 registered financial technology providers at Bank Indonesia as of 2018, and the number is only expected to increase going forward, which reflected the massive potential in the market (Gumiwang, 2018). Indonesia is often regarded as having the fastest growth of the mobile commerce market in the world (Nikkei Asian Review, 2017).

There is an increasing trend in Indonesia in the use of mobile payment in the market, and it potentially dominates the future (MDI and Mandiri Sekuritas, 2019). Many mobile payment companies attempt to gain traction in physical establishments. But in order to do this, a number of tactics are pushed, including the use of mobile payment systems in place of outdated card-based payment methods, the adoption of simple, convenient payment methods like QR-based systems, and the provision of monetary incentives like cashback and discounts (Redseer, 2019). By 2025, the number of Indonesian smartphone users is predicted to be 410 million, with Indonesia having the third-largest number of smartphone users in the world (The Jakarta Post, 2019). Despite global growth in mobile patent systems, Indonesia is still at an early stage of mobile payment adoption and the 47% of consumers using mobile payment in 2019 represented an increase of only 11% from 2018 (Rolfe, 2019).

According to MDI Ventures (Agusta, 2018), there are at least two major types of cashless payment technology in Indonesia: chip-based electronic money (e.g. BCA Flazz,

Mandiri e-Money) and server-based mobile payment system (e.g. Go-Pay, OVO). However, while chip-based electronic money has been around since 2007 (Bank Indonesia, 2007), mobile payment services have managed to scale up significantly in recent years (Agusta, 2018). Furthermore, it is expected that in 2019, the mobile payment industry (led by Go-Pay, OVO, T-Cash/LinkAja, BCA KlikPay, among others) will contribute an even much larger portion of the overall retail sales transaction in Indonesia with the introduction of a centralized gateway by Bank Indonesia, using QR codes to conveniently pay for various retail transactions and transfer funds across platforms, rendering chip-based electronic money to be increasingly irrelevant (FT Confidential Research, 2018).

We identify some factors that influence attitude and intention to use towards mobile payment.

(Research question) Previous study shows that (reference journal) We adopt and extend the modified diffusion of innovations (DOI) model of consumer attitudes toward mobile payments. While most mobile payment studies have focused on the Technology Acceptance Model (TAM), we offer DOI as a new perspective to investigate the motivations and barriers of mobile payment adoption. Our study modifies the previous approach and identifies important elements in the context of mobile payment diffusion. Specifically, our model shows how consumer attitudes mediate the intentions to use mobile payment.

METHODOLOGY

a. Data Collection

Data were collected through google forms with 251 final respondents. Participants have been filtered into 225 Respondents. The questionnaire was shared to colleagues of work and friends with most of them are millennials, that is why there is a question age between 27-42 years old. And filtered again unto only respondents that lived in Greater Jakarta. There were 57% male and 43% female. and most of those who were not between the ages of 27 and 42 were correspondents over the age of 42. The complete items are summarized in Table 1.

Demograpic	Percentage
Gender	
Male	43%
Female	57%
Mobile Banking Use	
OVO	8%
Go-Pay	13%
Mbanking	74%
Shoppe Pay	4%
Tidak Pernah	1%
Age between 27 – 42 years old	
Tidak	9%
Ya	91%

Table 1. Demographic Table

b. Measures

We use a 5point scale from 1 to 5 with 1 for strongly disagree and 5 for strongly agree, adopting from 2 journals Exploring the role of innovation attributes on mobile payment adoption, Denni Arli, Marat Bakpayev (2023) and Determining factors of

continuance intention in mobile payment: fintech industry perspective, Patria Laksamana, Suharyanto, Yohannes Ferry Cahaya (2022). Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability were assessed to

examine the internal consistency reliability of the constructs (Denni Arli, 2023). Cronbach's alpha values of measurements of all constructs and composite reliability surpassed the acceptable threshold of 0.70 (Nunnally, 1994), indicating acceptable reliability. We further tested whether the correlation between constructs is significantly less than one (Anderson and Gerbing, 1988).

c. Statistical analysis

Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability were assessed to examine the internal consistency reliability of the constructs. (Denni Arli et al, 2023). The convergent validity was assessed using bootstrapping analysis (Denni Arli et al, 2023). The average variance extracted (AVE) values exceeded the threshold value of 0.5 suggested by Fornell and Larcker (1981), demonstrating as shown in Table 4.

Loading >0.7 alpha >0.6

Table 2. Confirmatory Fa	Loading	Cronbach's
Item Factor	Loading	
		Alpha (C.A)
Relative Advantage		.892
Mobile Payment membuat transaksi saya	.903	
menjadi lebih cepat		
Mobile Payment akan meningkatkan	.876	
kualitas dari transaksi saya		
Mobile Payment akan meningkatkan	.923	
efektivitas transaksi saya		
Mobile Payment membuat transaksi	.853	
menjadi lebih mudah		
Mobile Payment memberikan kendali lebih	.720	
untuk transaksi saya		
Compatibility		.932
Mobile Payment cocok dengan gaya	.972	
transaksi saya		
Mobile Payment cocok dengan cara saya	.964	
melakukan transaksi		
Mobile Payment akan sesuai dengan	.891	
sebagian besar aspek dari transaksi saya		
Complexity		.956
Mempelajari penggunaan Mobile Payment	.942	
mudah untuk saya		
Secara keseluruhan, mudah bagi saya untuk	.958	
menggunakan Mobile Payment		
Mudah bagi saya untuk mahir dalam	.983	
penggunaan Mobile Payment		
Saya yakin Mobile Payment dapat	.879	
memudahkan untuk melakukan apa yang		
saya mau lakukan		
Trialability		.896
Saya ingin bisa menggunakan Mobile	.934	
Payment pada saat pertama kali mencoba		

Table 2. Confirmatory Factor Analysis

Saya ingin mencoba menggunakan Mobile	.956	
Payment secara tepat		
Saya ingin bisa mencoba menggunakan	.899	
Mobile Payment untuk waktu yang cukup		
lama untuk mengetahui saya lakukan		
Observability		
Saya akan menggunakan Mobile Payment	.915	
pada saat banyak orang telah		
menggunakannya		
Saya akan menggunakan Mobile Payment	.953	
pada saat saya melihat orang-orang sudah		
menggunakannya		
Saya akan menggunakan Mobile Payment		
setelah mengetahui tentang hal tersebut		
Saya akan menggunakan Mobile Payment	.926	
apabila sudah menjadi populer		
Saya akan menggunakan Mobile Payment	.901	
setelah customer lain mulai		
menggunakannya		
Convenience		.849
Terdapat banyak keuntungan dengan	.779	
menggunakan Mobile Payment	.11)	
dibandingkan alat pembayaran lainnya		
Penggunaan Mobile Payment lebih mudah	.853	
dan nyaman dibandingkan alat pembayaran	.055	
lainnya		
Dengan penggunaan Mobile Payment	.746	
berarti anda tidak perlu khawatir mengenai	.740	
banyaknya kartu kredit, antri di ATM dan		
ketersedian		
Penting bagi anda memiliki Mobile	.812	
Payment pada saat anda berpergian ke luar	.012	
negeri		
Menggunakan mobile payment lebih aman	.767	
dibandingkan dengan alat pembayaran	./0/	
lainnya		
Perceived Security		.942
Saya percaya akan keamanan dari transaksi	.863	
pembayaran dengan Mobile Payment	.005	
Saya percaya informasi pribadi yang saya	.946	
berikan pada saat melakukan transaksi	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
dengan Mobile Payment tidak akan bocor		
Saya percaya informasi pribadi yang saya	.946	
berikan selama transaksi dengan Mobile	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
Payment tidak dimanipulasi oleh pihak		
yang tidak		
Saya percaya informasi pribadi yang saya	.974	
berikan pada saat melakukan transaksi	.,,,,	
dengan menggunakan Mobile Payment		
tidak akan diberikan kepada pihak yang		
tidak bertanggung jawab		
Lioux ovi unggung jawao		

Trust		.949
Saya percaya dengan provider Mobile	.938	
Payment saya		
Saya percaya dengan keamanan yang	.964	
diberikan oleh provider Mobile Payment		
saya		
Saya percaya pada saat ada masalah dalam	.959	
keamanan, provider Mobile Payment saya		
dapat mengatasinya dengan segera		
Perceived Risk		.790
Menggunakan Mobile Payment akan	.764	
diasosiasikan dengan tingginya resiko		
Terdapat banyak ketidakpastian dalam	.912	
penggunaan Mobile Payment		
Secara keseluruhan, manfaat penggunaan	.859	
Mobile Payment lebih sedikit dibanding		
dengan alat pembayaran lainnya		
Attitude		.946
Menurut saya penggunaan Mobile Payment	.893	
adalah ide yang baik		
Menurut saya penggunaan Mobile Payment	.902	
untuk transaksi finansial adalah hal yang		
bijak		
Menurut saya menggunakan Mobile	.899	
Payment itu menyenangkan		
Menurut saya akan lebih baik	.900	
menggunakan Mobile Payment		
Orang-orang seharusnya menggunakan	.854	
Mobile Payment		
Intention to use		.924
Saya berniat untuk menggunakan Mobile	.962	
Payment lebih sering saat berbelanja		
Saya berniat menggunakan Mobile	.982	
Payment kapanpun diperlukan untuk		
berbelanja		
Saya akan berbelanja menggunakan Mobile	.904	
Payment dalam waktu dekat		

According to the data above, it can be concluded that the instruments for perceived convenience, perceived risk, perceived benefits and behavior of using mobile payment are declared reliable because they have a Cronbach alpha value above 0.60.

Tabel 3. KMO and bartlett's test			
Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling	,910		
Adequeacy.			
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity Approx.Chi	1468,840		
Square			
df	55		
Sig	,000		

Based on the data above, it can be seen that the kaiser-meyer-oklin measure of sampling adequacy is 0.910. With a chi-square value of 1468.840. and a significant value of 0.000.

Model	Unstandardized		Standardized	t	Sig
	coefficients		Coefficients beta	>2.000	<0.05
	B >1.2	Std.error			
H1	0.206	0.069	0.168	2.963	0.003
H2	0.129	0.063	0.136	2.267	0.010
H3	0.135	0.052	0.131	2.324	0.009
H4	0.164	0.051	0.172	3.267	0.001
H5	0.182	0.059	0.163	3.442	0.001
H6	0.321	0.060	0.291	5.263	0.000
H7	0.298	0.062	0.272	5.179	0.000
H8	0.253	0.054	0.241	4.843	0.000
H9	0.072	0.046	0.072	1.456	0.192
H10	0.920	0.052	0.846	19.635	0.000

Table 4. Significant Data for Independent H1 – H10

Based on the results of the t-test (partial) statistical test, it shows that as follows:

- 1) The relative advantage variable has a significance value (Sig.) of 0.003 in the Coefficientsa table with an α (degree of significance) value of 0.05, meaning that 0.003 <0.05 and the t-count value is greater than the t table, namely 2.963> 1.66039. This means that relative advantage has a positive and significant effect on the adoption of mobile payments among millennials in Jakarta.
- 2) The compatibility variable has a significance value (Sig.) of 0.010 in the Coefficientsa table with an α (degree of significance) value of 0.05, meaning that 0.010 <0.05 and the t-count value is greater than the t table, namely 2.267> 1.66039. This means that compatibility has a positive and significant effect on the adoption of mobile payments among millennials in Jakarta.
- 3) The complexity variable has a significance value (Sig.) of 0.009 in the Coefficientsa table with an α (degree of significance) value of 0.05, meaning that 0.009 <0.05 and the t-count value is greater than the t table, namely 2.324> 1.66039. This means that complexity has a positive and significant effect on the adoption of mobile payments among millennials in Jakarta.
- 4) The triability variable has a significance value (Sig.) 0.001 in the Coefficientsa table with an α (degree of significance) value of 0.05, meaning that 0.001 <0.05 and the t-count value is greater than the t table, namely 3.267> 1.66039. This means that triability has a positive and significant effect on the adoption of mobile payments among millennials in Jakarta.
- 5) The observability variable has a significance value (Sig.) 0.001 in the Coefficientsa table with an α (degree of significance) value of 0.05, meaning that 0.001 <0.05 and the t-count value is greater than the t table, namely 3.442> 1.66039. This means that triability has a positive and significant effect on the adoption of mobile payments among millennials in Jakarta.
- 6) The convenience variable has a significance value (Sig.) of 0.000 in the Coefficientsa table with an α (degree of significance) value of 0.05, meaning that 0.000 <0.05 and the t-count value is greater than the t table, namely 5.263> 1.66039. This means that convenience has a positive and significant effect on the adoption of mobile payments among millennials in Jakarta.
- 7) The perceived security variable has a significance value (Sig.) 0.000 in the Coefficientsa table with an α (degree of significance) value of 0.05, meaning that 0.000 <0.05 and the t-count value is greater than the t table, namely 5.179> 1.66039. This means that perceived security has a positive and significant effect on the

adoption of mobile payments among millennials in Jakarta.

- 8) The Trust variable has a significance value (Sig.) 0.000 in the Coefficientsa table with an α (degree of significance) value of 0.05, meaning that 0.000 <0.05 and the t-count value is greater than the t table, namely 4.843> 1.66039. This means that trust has a positive and significant effect on the adoption of mobile payments among millennials in Jakarta.
- 9) The perceived risk variable has a significance value (Sig.) 0.192 in the Coefficientsa table with an α (degree of significance) value of 0.05, meaning that 0.192>0.05 and the t-count value is smaller than the t table, namely 1.456 <1.66039. This means that perceived risk has a positive and significant effect on the adoption of mobile payments among millennials in Jakarta.
- 10) The Attitude variable has a significance value (Sig.) 0.000 in the Coefficients table with an α (degree of significance) value of 0.05, meaning that 0.000 <0.05 and the t-count value is greater than the t table, namely 19.635> 1.66039. This means that Attitude has a positive and significant effect on the adoption of mobile payments among millennials in Jakarta.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The results show that of the indicators are supported. The most supported indicators are trialability and convenience. That means consumers are more concerned with the try for something new and the convenience to attitude towards intention to use mobile Studies found that consumers' attitudes toward the adoption of mobile payments. technology, in general, were affected by ease of use (Bailey et al., 2017; Schierz et al., 2010; Min et al., 2019). Therefore, the trust and perceived risk as an addition in this journal showed that millennials in Greater Jakarta are not that really concerned about it. When we talk about the internet of things, mobile payment is always followed for convenience transactions using mobile payment either the bank application or the third party application. With respect to other factors affecting consumer attitude, perceived usefulness has the most substantial impact (Suharyanto et al, 2022). We suggest that social media is an alternative electronic medium for enhancing trust in relationships and engagement with consumers (Ananda et al., 2019; Laksamana, 2020). In this era of digitalization and digitization, less hassle, speed, simplicity and safety are found to be useful and practical (Gobble, 2018)

Meanwhile, a lot of indicators from DOI theory in 1962, half of them have been chosen by millennials in Greater Jakarta such as compatibility, perceived security, relative advantage and of course the most concerned indicators trialability and convenience. That means the DOI theory can still be used in the modern era.

The study has shown that if there is a new application for mobile payment (bank application or third party application), the most important things for millennials in Greater Jakarta are convenience, trialability, relative advantage and perceived security. Consumers still look after the security but it is only just in 4th grade in this study because maybe they believe that in this modern area, the payment company always provides security transactions in the first place. Hence, as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, consumers have continued to use mobile payments instead of traditional ones. Correspondents who are over the age of 42 or what we can call generation X, based on the survey results above, it can be said that the influence of the millennial generation, which is already literate in technology, is able to influence the use of mobile banking. this is also influenced by compelling circumstances such as the presence of the covid virus which requires

everything to be online. It seems unlikely that the shift to mobile payment will be reversed (Suharyanto et al, 2022).

The trialability factors got too concerning because millennials in Greater Jakarta would like to use and try something new to test the innovation that financial company gave to them to determine whether it fits their criteria (Lin and Bautista, 2017; Zolkepli and Kamarulzaman, 2015).

LIMITATION AND FUTURE RESEARCH

This study has several limitations, which provide opportunities for future research. First, it's only focus in Greater Jakarta and among millennials although some of the correspondents were generation X. even, it limits the study about perception towards mobile payment in other cities and other generations such as baby boomer generation. Second, this study did not look at the income level and the level of technological literacy, which often influences consumers adoption of new technology. Future research may perform segmentation studies to investigate the differences between various levels of technological literacies. Finally, the nature of the study is quantitative with a crosssectional approach. Future research with a combined quantitative and qualitative approach is able to give a broader view and insight.

REFERENCES

Agusta, J. (2018),"Mobile payment in Indonesia: race to big data domination".

- Ananda, A. S., Hernández-García, N., Acquila-Natale, E., & Lamberti, L. (2019). "What makes fashion consumers 'click'? Generation of eWoM engagement in social media", Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics, 31(2), 398–418. https://doi.org/10.1108/apjml-03-2018-0115.
- Anderson, J.C. and Gerbing, D.W. (1988), "Structural equation modeling in practice: a review and recommended two-step approach", Psychological Bulletin, Vol. 103 No. 3, p. 411.
- Arli, D., & Bakpayev, M. (2023). "Exploring the role of innovation attributes on mobile payment adoption", Journal of Consumer Marketing, 40(7), 826–841. https://doi.org/10.1108/jcm-04-2021-4630.
- Au, Y. A., & Kauffman, R. J. (2008). "The economics of mobile payments: Understanding stakeholder issues for an emerging financial technology application", Electronic Commerce Research and Applications, 7(2), 141–164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2006.12.004.
- Bank Indonesia (2007), "Laporan perkembangan sistem pembayaran 2007", available at: www.bi.go.id/id/publikasi/sistempembayaran/perkembangan/Documents/0ae6bcb070864981956c002e87120ba5LAPORAN_ PERKEMBANGAN_SISTEM_PEMBAYARAN_2007.pdf
- Boden, J., Maier, E., & Wilken, R. (2020). "The effect of credit card versus mobile payment on convenience and consumers' willingness to pay", Journal of Retailing and Consumer Services, 52, 101910. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretconser.2019.101910.
- Caboni, F., & Hagberg, J. (2019). "Augmented reality in retailing: a review of features, applications and value", International Journal of Retail & Distribution Management, 47(11), 1125–1140. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijrdm-12-2018-0263.
- Chen, L. D. (2008). "A model of consumer acceptance of mobile payment", International Journal of Mobile Communications, 6(1), 32. https://doi.org/10.1504/ijmc.2008.015997.
- Dahlberg, T., Guo, J., & Ondrus, J. (2015). "A critical review of mobile payment research", Electronic Commerce Research and Applications, 14(5), 265–284. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2015.07.006.
- Dahlberg, T., Mallat, N., Ondrus, J., & Zmijewska, A. (2008)", Past, present and future of mobile payments research: A literature review", Electronic Commerce Research and Applications, 7(2), 165–181. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2007.02.001.

- Featherman, M. S., & Pavlou, P. A. (2003). "Predicting e-services adoption: a perceived risk facets perspective", International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 59(4), 451–474. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1071-5819(03)00111-3.
- FT Confidential Research (2018), "Indonesian mobile payments set for cross platform boom", Financial Times, available at: https://app.ft.com/content/e9a50f6c-037a-11e9-99df-6183d3002ee1
- Gumiwang, R. (2018), "Jorjoran adu 'bakar uang' Go-Pay dan OVO", Tirto.Id, available at: https://tirto.id/jorjoran-adu-bakar-uang-go-pay-dan-ovo-c8u1
- Handarkho, Y. D., & Harjoseputro, Y. (2019). "Intention to adopt mobile payment in physical stores", Journal of Enterprise Information Management, 33(2), 285–308. https://doi.org/10.1108/jeim-06-2019-0179.
- Handarkho, Y. D., Harjoseputro, Y., Samodra, J. E., & Irianto, A. B. P. (2021). "Understanding proximity mobile payment continuance usage in Indonesia from a habit perspective", Journal of Asia Business Studies, 15(3), 420–440. https://doi.org/10.1108/jabs-02-2020-0046.
- Howe, N. and Strauss, W. (2009), Millennials Rising: The Next Great Generation, Vintage, New York, NY.
- Hwang, R. J., Shiau, S. H., & Jan, D. F. (2007). "A new mobile payment scheme for roaming services", Electronic Commerce Research and Applications, 6(2), 184–191. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elerap.2006.07.002.
- Kemp, S. (2019), "Global digital report 2019 We are social", available at: https://wearesocial.com/global-digital-report-2019
- Kim, C., Mirusmonov, M., & Lee, I. (2010). "An empirical examination of factors influencing the intention to use mobile payment", Computers in Human Behavior, 26(3), 310–322. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2009.10.013.
- KPMG Siddharta Advisory (2017), "Retail payments in Indonesia", available at: https://assets.kpmg/content/dam/kpmg/id/pdf/2017/01/id-retail-payments-in-indonesia.pdf
- Laksamana, P., Suharyanto, S., & Cahaya, Y. F. (2022). "Determining factors of continuance intention in mobile payment: fintech industry perspective", Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics, 35(7), 1699–1718. https://doi.org/10.1108/apjml-11-2021-0851.
- Leon, S. (2018). "Service mobile apps: a millennial generation perspective", Industrial Management & Data Systems, 118(9), 1837–1860. https://doi.org/10.1108/imds-10-2017-0479
- Lindsay, R., Jackson, T. W., & Cooke, L. (2011). "Adapted technology acceptance model for mobile policing", Journal of Systems and Information Technology, 13(4), 389–407. https://doi.org/10.1108/13287261111183988.
- Lisana, L. (2021). "Factors influencing the adoption of mobile payment systems in Indonesia", International Journal of Web Information Systems, 17(3), 0–10. https://doi.org/10.1108/ijwis-01-2021-0004.
- Mallat, N., Rossi, M., Tuunainen, V. K., & Öörni, A. (2009). "The impact of use context on mobile services acceptance: The case of mobile ticketing", Information & Management, 46(3), 190–195. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2008.11.008.
- Masnick, G. (2012), "Defining the generations", Joint Center for Housing Studies of Harvard University, available at: http://housingperspectives.blogspot.co.id/2012/11/defininggenerations.html
- MDI and Mandiri Sekuritas (2019), "Mobile Payments in Indonesia: race to big data domination", available at: www.mdi.vc/mobilepaymentindonesia.pdf
- Nikkei Asian Review (2017), "Indonesia's mobile payments industry to enter defining year Nikkei asian review", Available at: https://asia.nikkei.com/Economy/Indonesia-s-mobile-paymentsindustry-to-enter-defining-year2
- Nunnally, J.C. (1994), Psychometric Theory 3E, Tata McGrawhill education, New York, NY.
- Pew Research Center (2011), "The generation gap and the 2012 election", available at: www.people-press.org/2011/11/03/the-generation-gap-and-the-2012-election-3/

- Rader, N. E., May, D. C., & Goodrum, S. (2007). "AN EMPIRICAL ASSESSMENT OF THE "THREAT OF VICTIMIZATION:" CONSIDERING FEAR OF CRIME, PERCEIVED RISK, AVOIDANCE, AND DEFENSIVE BEHAVIORS", Sociological Spectrum, 27(5), 475–505. https://doi.org/10.1080/02732170701434591
- Redseer (2019), "Indonesia Fintech report", available at: www.redseer.com/reports/indonesiafintechreport/
- Rogers, E.M. (2003), Diffusion of Innovations, 5th ed., Free Press, New York, NY.
- Rolfe, A. (2019), "Mobile wallet trends anual report 2019", available at: www.paymentscardsandmobile.com/mobile-wallet-trends-annual-report-2019/
- Siau, K., & Shen, Z. (2003). "Mobile communications and mobile services", International Journal of Mobile Communications, 1(1/2), 3. https://doi.org/10.1504/ijmc.2003.002457.
- Tee, H. H., & Ong, H. B. (2016). "Cashless payment and economic growth", Financial Innovation, 2(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-016-0023-z.
- The Jakarta Post (2019), "Indonesia's mobile ads record highest growth rate in the world", available at: www.thejakartapost.com/news/2019/12/12/indonesias-mobile-ads-record-highest-growth-rate-inthe-world.html
- Weinbaum, C., Girven, R. and Oberholtzer, J. (2016), "The Millennial Generation: Implications for the Intelligence and Policy Communities", National Defense Research Institute (US), Research Report, RR-1306-OSD, RAND Corporation, Santa Monica, CA
- Widyanto, H. A., Kusumawardani, K. A., & Yohanes, H. (2021). "Safety first: extending UTAUT to better predict mobile payment adoption by incorporating perceived security, perceived risk and trust", Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management, 13(4), 952–973. https://doi.org/10.1108/jstpm-03-2020-0058.
- Yadav, M. S., & Pavlou, P. A. (2014). "Marketing in Computer-Mediated Environments: Research Synthesis and New Directions", Journal of Marketing, 78(1), 20–40. https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.12.0020.
- Zolkepli, I. A., & Kamarulzaman, Y. (2015). "Social media adoption: The role of media needs and innovation characteristics", Computers in Human Behavior, 43, 189–209. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2014.10.050.